| U. | 2. Award Or Grant 22-50-M09030 | 2. Award Or Grant Number 22-50-M09030 | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Performa | ance Progress R | Report | 4. Report Date (MN 04-24-2012 | M/DD/YYYY) |
| Recipient Name | | | 6. Designated Entit | v On Rehalf Of |
| State of Louisiana Division of Adm | ninistration | | State of Louisiana | |
| 3. Street Address | | | 8. Final Report? | 9. Report Frequency |
| 1201 North 3rd Street, Suite 2-130 |), | | Yes | Quarterly |
| 5. City, State, Zip Code | | | | Semi Annual |
| Baton Rouge, LA 70802 | | | | ○ Annual○ Final |
| | | 7b. | 9a. If Other, please | <u> </u> |
| | End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) | Reporting Period End Date: | | describe. |
| 11-01-2009 | 0-31-2014 | 03-31-2012 | n/a | |
| 10. Broadband Mapping | 10a. Provider Table | | | |
| Number of Providers Identified Providers Conta | Number of Agreement Reached for Data Sha | | | per of Sets Verified |
| 10b. Are you submitting the required F | PROVIDER DATA by using the | e Excel spreadsheet provided | by the SBDD grants office | ? ○Yes ●No |
| 10c. Have you encountered challenge | es with any providers that indi | cate they may refuse to particip | eate in this project? | s (No |
| 10d. If so, describe the discussions to | | | | |
| Buford Media Group and Bluebird \ | | | articipate. | |
| The following fourteen (14) provide Acadian Wireless; Bayou Internet I D/B/A DETEL; CS Wireless, LLC; I Access, LLC; Nexus Systems, Inc. 10e. If you are collecting data through activities to be undertaken in the The following additional verification | nc.; Cable South Media (for Hunt Telecom; Integrated ; PC One Cable, LLC; Sky other means (e.g. data extra future | ormerly known as Media3); Data Systems; Interactive E rcom1; Superior Wireless. ction, extrapolation, etc), please | Cellular South; Conterra- Solutions A/K/A Broad | a Broadband Services band IP; Maximum |
| Development of Initial Conservation | | | | |
| defining geographic service areas of with fiber. The geographic areas a Wireless Market Intelligence Data Providers of wireless broadband sees Targeted Online Surveys: Questic sampling in rural area and those with Online Public Survey and Speed data on broadband availability, tech downstream and upstream speeds 10f. Please describe the verification and Audit of Wireless Broadband Availatransmitter location and, where availability is with the provided that the service of the service areas and the service areas and the service areas are service areas are service areas and the service areas are service areas and the service areas are service areas and the service areas are service areas and those with the service areas are service are service areas are service areas are service are service areas are service are service areas are service are service are service areas are service areas are service are serv | are overlaid with Census do a: Commercially available ervice. This dataset is used onnaires (e-mail/web based here the above conservati Test: A Broadband Mappin hnology, service type (e.g. c. ctivities you plan to implement ability Reporting: Fixed Wailable, data on spectrum p | emographic data on housing dataset used as an independ as a validation source for ed) have been sent directly two estimate indicates are "ung Public Survey Site is dependent, speed tier) service provident ireless propagation mapping power levels and other relev | g unit counts and density and the source to verify in provider service area or to businesses and house inserved" and "underse ployed. Site visitors are the rame; monthly prices g will be developed, with ant transmission factors | formation submitted by byerage. eholds, including over- rved" areas. requested to provide paid and measured the key inputs being sprovided by carriers |
| and/or supplemented by data available provider submitted wireless service wireless providers. The Prior Mappantenna data, deployment of this a avenues for acquiring the needed to Development Districts. Our contract VALIDATION METHODOLOGIES 10g. Have you initiated verification action. If yes, please describe the status. | able from public web sites area coverage, as well apping data is also used as a udit of Wireless Broadban tower and antenna informator, Michael Baker Inc., s with the October 2011 dat tivities? of your activities | and other sources. This da is a source for providing sen a validation source for gap a d Availability is planned for ation are being explored fror ubmitted a white paper desi a submission to the NTIA. | taset is used as a sourd vice areas coverage for nalysis. Due to a lack of the April 1, 2012 deliver in State Wireless Assoc cribing the DATA DEVE | ce to determine gaps in non-participating fixed of provider tower and ry to the NTIA. New iations and Area ELOPMENT & |

processed/aggregated information.

- Stakeholder Feedback: The state broadband mapping website includes a feedback function.
- Service Area Validation Data: The SNG wireline service area data is tabular and contains a separate record for each provider/ technology of transmission combination with an associated census block or TIGER road segment, depending on whether the size of the census block area (=/< or > 2 sq. mi.).
- Online Surveys, FCC, and Field Validation Data: The Public and Targeted Business/Household survey and field data were also collected in tabular database format, and represent a specific lat/long spatial location for each record.
- Validation Reporting: A matched percentage was obtained through SEAS for each of the database records.
- Business Logic Rules: Model Builder was used to build a model of GIS geo-processing tools to validate that the broadband data was consistent with the front end business logic rules of the SBI Data Transfer Model.
- Topology: The ArcGIS Validate Topology Tool was used to flag any topology issues in the broadband data.
- SBI Check Submission: The NTIA-provided SBI Check Submission tool is utilized to validate that the deliverable broadband data is consistent with the business logic rules set forth by the NTIA and a passing receipt is provided with the data submittal to NTIA.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

n/a

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

16.27

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed?

Yes

No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

n/a

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

20

10n. Staffing Table

| Job Title | FTE % | Date of Hire |
|--|-------|--------------|
| DOA Assistant Director, Statewide Technology | 20 | 01/01/2012 |
| SBO Program Director | 100 | 01/01/2012 |
| SBO Program Analyst | 100 | 01/01/2012 |
| LAGIC Manager | 50 | 01/01/2012 |
| LAGIC Computer Analyst | 100 | 01/01/2012 |
| LAGIC Web Designer | 50 | 01/01/2012 |
| LAGIC Data Analyst / System Administrator | 100 | 01/01/2012 |
| LAGIC GIS Training Coordinator | 100 | 01/01/2012 |
| LAGIC Student Worker | 50 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker Broadband Engineer | 34 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker GIS Technician | 66 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker Statistician | 35 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker Field Technician | 40 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker GIS Specialist | 60 | 01/01/2012 |

| Baker GIS Specialist | 60 | 01/01/2012 |
|--|----|------------|
| Baker GIS Associate | 45 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker Technical Specialist | 70 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker Technical Specialist | 70 | 01/01/2012 |
| Baker Technical Specialist | 70 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Program Administrator Coordinator | 50 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Student Worker | 25 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Assistant Director | 75 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Regional Coordinator | 67 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Extension Associate | 33 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Associate Professor | 10 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Extension Agent | 80 | 01/01/2012 |
| LSU Ag Extension Agent | 67 | 01/01/2012 |
| | | |

Remove Row

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

| Name of Subcontractor | Purpose of Subcontract | RFP Issued (Y/N) | Contract Executed (Y/N) | Start Date | End Date | Federal Funds | In-Kind Funds |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Michael Baker Jr., Inc. | Broadband Mapping Support Services | Υ | Y | 10/01/2009 | 07/31/2011 | 926,900 | 110,000 |
| Michael Baker Jr., Inc. | Broadband Mapping Support Services | N | Y | 08/01/2011 | 09/30/2012 | 598,576 | 105,631 |
| LA Geographic Information Center (LAGIC) | Broadband Mapping Data Validation and Planning | N | Y | 03/01/2010 | 02/28/2013 | 681,528 | 134,997 |
| LSU Agriculture Center | Technical Assistance Program - Connect My LA | N | Y | 01/01/2011 | 12/31/2013 | 653,184 | 163,296 |
| LSU Manship Research Facility | LA Broadband Survey | N | Y | 08/01/2011 | 04/30/2012 | 39,384 | 9,846 |
| TBD | Supplemental Grant Program | N | N | 07/01/2012 | 09/30/2014 | 2,863,909 | 490,929 |

Add Row

Add Row Remove Row

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,808,394 10q. How much Remains? \$4,841,285

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$553,847 10s. How much Remains? \$1,209,738

10t. Budget Worksheet

| Tot. Budget Worksheet | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mapping Budget Element | Federal Funds Granted | Proposed In-Kind | Total Budget | Federal Funds Expended | Matching Funds Expended | Total Funds Expended |
| Personal Salaries | \$627,456 | \$104,500 | \$731,956 | \$165,565 | \$92,451 | \$258,016 |
| Personnel Fringe Benefits | \$178,742 | \$31,180 | \$209,922 | \$57,744 | \$29,575 | \$87,319 |
| Travel | \$0 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | \$1,835 | \$621 | \$2,456 |

| Mapping Budget Element | Federal Funds Granted | Proposed In-Kind | Total Budget | Federal Funds Expended | Matching Funds Expended | Total Funds Expended |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Equipment | \$0 | \$13,200 | \$13,200 | \$0 | \$13,000 | \$13,000 |
| Materials / Supplies | \$80,000 | \$536,106 | \$616,106 | \$17,040 | \$160,151 | \$177,191 |
| Subcontracts Total | \$5,763,481 | \$1,014,699 | \$6,778,180 | \$1,564,172 | \$250,082 | \$1,814,254 |
| Subcontract #1 | \$926,900 | \$110,000 | \$1,036,900 | \$944,856 | \$105,544 | \$1,050,400 |
| Subcontract #2 | \$598,576 | \$105,631 | \$704,207 | \$158,151 | \$35,017 | \$193,168 |
| Subcontract #3 | \$681,528 | \$134,997 | \$816,525 | \$237,274 | \$61,341 | \$298,615 |
| Subcontract #4 | \$653,184 | \$163,296 | \$816,480 | \$194,353 | \$40,799 | \$235,152 |
| Subcontract #5 | \$2,903,293 | \$500,775 | \$3,404,068 | \$29,538 | \$7,381 | \$36,919 |
| Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other | \$0 | \$35,900 | \$35,900 | \$2,038 | \$7,967 | \$10,005 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$6,649,679 | \$1,763,585 | \$8,413,264 | \$1,808,394 | \$553,847 | \$2,362,241 |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Costs | \$6,649,679 | \$1,763,585 | \$8,413,264 | \$1,808,394 | \$553,847 | \$2,362,241 |
| % Of Total | 79 | 21 | 100 | 77 | 23 | 100 |

Hardware / Software 10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? 10v. If yes, please list n/a 10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased n/a 10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? ●Yes ○No 10y. If yes, please list No additional data sets have been purchased since the reporting period for the quarter ending 9/30/2011. The project continues to use the following third part data sets: TeleAtlas; American Roamer, LLC; SNG Internet Connectivity at census block level. 10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? Yes No 10aa. If yes, please list n/a 10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

n/a

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project Service Provider Update Portal: Baker develop a beta version of the secure web-based application for broadband service providers to simplify and automate the semi-annual process for collecting and verifying data. The web portal provides an easy-to-use map redlining tool for updating a provider broadband service area and attributes. It is expected that the simplification and automation of the data collection process will improve increase participation and improve the timeliness of provider response, data accuracy and consistency. The beta version will be tested by select providers and full deployment is planned for Spring 2012.

Propagation Mapping: Development of propagation mapping for evaluation of reported fixed wireless coverage, and development of fixed wireless coverage for non-responsive service providers, as described in 10f above, will also be implemented. Partial Deployment is planned for the April 2012 NTIA submittal.

Provider Confidence Maps: Utilizing the Confidence Level/Statistical Modeling, Confidence level Maps for Service Providers will be produced and distributed to the providers which highlight questionable areas of reported broadband availability when compared against the verification datasets. Providers are to respond with justification for these questionable areas or to take corrective action in their data for the next data submission.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

We have hosted three broadband symposiums, designed to educate and engage community leaders from challenged parishes in broadband topics:

- Monroe (April 19-20, 2011), servicing the Delta parishes
- Hammond (June 15, 2011), servicing the Florida parishes
- Alexandria (August 9), servicing the Southwest and Central Louisiana parishes

We have presented four Broadband Grant Workshops, teaching grant writing skills to the community leaders of our 18 most challenged parishes, to empower them to compete for USDA Rural Development, Delta Regional Authority and our technical assistance grant programs:

- Oak Grove (July 28, 2011), servicing the northern Delta parishes
- Crowley (August 3, 2011), servicing Southwest Louisiana
- Winnsboro (August 10, 2011), servicing the lower Delta parishes
- Greensburg (August 24, 2011), servicing the Florida parishes

We have engaged a number of organizations to assist us with outreach to the local governments, businesses, and citizens that have not fully engaged in broadband and to promote our various workshops, symposiums, and programs, including:

- Delta Regional Authority
- Louisiana Dept. of Economic Development
- Louisiana Municipal Association
- Louisiana Police Jurors Association
- Louisiana Broadband Advisory Council
- Louisiana Local Development Districts (Baton Rouge, Alexandria, Monroe)
- Louisiana Public Service Commissioners (North LA and Southwest LA districts)

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?

Chambers of Commerce throughout the State

We have completed the data collection for the Louisiana Broadband Survey are in the process of finalizing the report, which will provide a more granular view of the regional broadband adoption rates, as well as obstacles that are preventing those citizens from adopting broadband.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Although we have made numerous attempts to engage the federally recognized tribal nations of Louisiana, we have not yet achieved their participation in our program. We have developed a plan to engage the tribal nations through the Technical Assistance program.

| ion paracipation in our program. The have developed a plan to origage the theat in | ianono ambagir arb recimican reciciante program |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |

Yes No

| 11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. | Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can |
|---|--|
| be implemented | |

n/a

Funding

| 11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains? | | | | | | ? \$0 |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0 11h. How much Remains? | | | | | | ? \$0 |
| 11i. Planning Worksheet | | | · | | · | |
| Personal Salaries | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Personnel Fringe Benefits | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Travel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Equipment | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Materials / Supplies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontracts Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| % Of Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

We have redesigned the Louisiana Broadband Initiative website to include sections for citizens, local governments, businesses, internet providers and the Broadband advisory Council. We are also using social media (Facebook, Twitter) to both keep followers upto-date with national and state-level broadband news and to drive new visitors to our LBI website.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

n/a

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

see attached status document.

| 12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official | 12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension) | | | |
| Neal Underwood | (225) 219-4025 | | | |
| | 12d. Email Address | | | |
| Ass't Director Statewide Techn | neal.underwood@la.gov | | | |
| 12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official | 12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year) | | | |
| Submitted Electronically | 06-13-2012 | | | |
| | | | | |